

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES – GENERAL

Case No. 2:24-cv-10352-PD Date: March 10, 2025

Title Diana Stinnett v. Signature Homes, Inc. et al

Present: The Honorable Patricia Donahue, United States Magistrate Judge

Isabel Verduzco
Deputy Clerk

N/A
Court Reporter / Recorder

Attorney Present for Plaintiff:
Not present

Attorneys Present for Defendant:
Not present

Proceedings (In Chambers): ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

On December 2, 2024, Plaintiff Diana Stinnett (“Plaintiff”) filed a complaint (ECF No. 1). The case was assigned to Magistrate Judge Patricia Donahue. On December 3, 2024, upon Plaintiff’s request (ECF No. 4), the Court Clerk issued a summons as to Defendant Signature Homes, Inc. (“Defendant”) (ECF No. 6). Also on December 3, 2024, the Court Clerk filed a Notice of Assignment to a U.S. Magistrate Judge and Declination of Consent (“Notice of Assignment”) (ECF No. 5.) Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure (“Rule”) 4(m), Plaintiff is required to serve the summons and complaint on each defendant within ninety (90) days after the complaint is filed or risk dismissal of the action without prejudice against the unserved defendant(s). *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m). Pursuant to Central District of California Local Civil Rule (“Local Rule”) 73-2.1, Plaintiff is required to serve the Notice of Assignment on each newly served party or party added to the case at the time of service of the summons and complaint or other initiating document. *See* C.D. Cal. L.R. 73-2.1; *see also* Notice of Assignment. Accordingly, Plaintiff was required to serve the summons, complaint, and Notice of Assignment on Defendant **by no later than March 2, 2025**.

As of the date of this Order, Plaintiff has failed to file proof of service of the summons, complaint, and Notice of Assignment or request an extension of time in which to do so. Accordingly, Plaintiff is **ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE by no later than April 9, 2025** why this Court should not recommend that the action be dismissed with prejudice for failure to prosecute. Plaintiff may discharge this Order by filing a proof of service.

It is so ordered.